

APPENDIX 2

Response to Consultation on the Hackney Carriage Door Signage

I support/do not support the introduction of door signage for Hackney Carriages	Comments
I do not support	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Do not need door signs as already have sign on the top of the vehicle (8 respondents – all Hackney Carriage Drivers)2) Additional cost when already struggling and additional cost for signage at outset and on any change of vehicle (3 respondents – all Hackney Carriage Drivers)3) Adding door signage will have an effect on the amount of customers we receive as customers do not prefer to travel in a vehicle which has been branded all over (1 respondent – Hackney Carriage Driver)4) This would be an extra expense for the Council – if the Council has extra money, it could be spent on additional hours for the enforcement officer(2 respondents – both Hackney Carriage Drivers))5) Door signs are not required (1 respondent – Hackney Carriage Driver))
I support	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) You should stop people from other boroughs from working here, if so then they should get the Ribble Valley badge so that Ribble Valley get the revenue; Council should have the authority to check the vehicle and driver and we should have sign stickers on vehicles (1 respondent who hold both Hackney Carriage and Private Hire licences)2) Suggest firstly a colour change to Hackneys so that any problem can be rectified and Hackney separated. Secondly an increase in the number of Hackney plates to deal with the rising demand in line with population growth; also the present Hackney drivers like to go on long distance jobs thus leaving a void in town where we currently have touting. People have suggested that the colour scheme should be red or yellow (1 respondent who holds a Private Hire Driver's licence)